

1
2 *E-Filed 5/27/10*
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

10
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
14

15 ROBERT P. KASER,
16 Petitioner,
17 v.
18 ROBERT K. WONG,
19 Respondent.

20 No. C 09-2029 RS (PR)

21 **ORDER REQUESTING FURTHER
22 BRIEFING**

23 A recent en banc decision from the Ninth Circuit addressed important issues relating
24 to federal review of parole decisions in California. *See Hayward v. Marshall*, No. 06-55392,
25 2010 WL 1664977 (9th Cir. April 22, 2010) (en banc). The Court requests that the parties
submit supplemental briefs (not to exceed 12 pages in length) explaining their views on how
the *Hayward* en banc decision applies to this parole denial habeas case. The Court sets the
following schedule:

- 26 1. Within 10 days of this order, respondent shall send to petitioner a copy of the
27 *Hayward* decision.
28 2. Within 30 days of this order, respondent shall file with the Court and serve on
petitioner a supplemental brief on the impact of *Hayward* on this action.

1 3. Within 15 days thereafter, petitioner may file with the Court and serve on
2 respondent a supplemental brief on the impact of *Hayward* on this action.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 DATED: May 27, 2010


RICHARD SEEBORG
United States District Judge